



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,705	09/07/2000	Magnus Bjorsne	3525-95	6406

7590 12/18/2001

Nixon & Vanderhye  
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, BINTA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1625

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/623,705

Applicant(s)  
BJORSNE et al.

Examiner  
Alan Rotman

Group Art Unit  
1625



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alan Rotman (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Leonard C. Mitchard (Reg.No.29,009 (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 7, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Premature Examination

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants' attorney initiated a telephonic interview concerning a delay in the examination of this application under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) Articles 22 and 39(1) respectively which requires 30 months from the convention date. This Delay request was inadvertently missed. Therefore the earliest date for examination is Dec. 16, 2001. Accordingly, the Official Office Action mailed August 14, 2001 is vacated.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

for Binta Robinson

Alan L Rotman  
ALAN L. ROTMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.